



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/038,271	•	10/23/2001	Frits Jacobus Fallaux	3833.6US	8381		
24247	7590	04/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
TRASK BRITT				NGUYEN, DA	NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		UT 84110		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ONET EM	EL CITT,	01 01110		1632			
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/200	DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	:				
Advisory Action	10/038,271	FALLAUX ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	7				
	Dave T Nguyen	1632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the mailing date of this Another than the mailing date of the mailing dat	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The apporting in the final portion of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): ODP rejection regarding	US 5994128.	•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: of	reconsideration has been cons the remaining ODP rejection over t	idered but does NO JS 6,306,652.	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 30-38</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	······································					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 10. Other: During a phone interview on April 26, 2004, attorney Bretton Crockette agreed to file a TD over the the '652 patent. Applicant also agreed to correct the cross reference with regrad to PCT/NL96/00244 and the status of the parent cases, which had been issued as US patents. Note that the '128 patent is a 371 of PCT/NL96/00244. Also, potential ODP issues with US 6692966 and 6265212 were discussed. Once reviewed for confirmations, applicant indicated that a TD will be filed to correct this ODP issues. Lastly, applicant will submit evidence showing that the inventive entitiy between the '212 patent and this aplication is the same.

DAVE T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER